SHORT PAPER

Synthesis and structural characterisation of a new ferrous-ferric borophosphate: $(NH_4)_{0.5}Fe^{II}_{0.5}Fe^{III}_{0.5}(H_2O)_2BP_2O_8 0.5H_2O^{\dagger}$ Hengzhen Shi^{a,b}, Yongkui Shan^{a*}, Mingyuan He^a and Yuyan Liu^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, ^bLaboratory for Quantum Optics of National Education Ministry, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China

A new ferrous-ferric borophosphate was synthesised by hydrothermal conditions and structurally characterised by X-ray single crystal diffraction, IR, TGA, XPS as well as magnetic measurement.

Keywords: open-framework, borophosphate, single crystal, synthesis

Open-framework borophosphate solids have received much attention due to potential application as functional materials. ferric borophosphate Although the compounds, $Fe(H_2O)_2BP_2O_8 \cdot H_2O(1)$ and $(NH_4)_{0.4}Fe^{II}_{0.55}Fe^{III}_{0.5}(H_2O)$ $[BP_2O_8]$ 0.6H₂O(2) have been reported,^{1, 2} mixed valent iron borophosphate that contains a single iron site and ammonium ions in the crystal structure has not been found due to the structural diversity and the complexity of borophosphate chemistry.3-7 We now report the synthesis and structural characterisation of an example of this new type of borophosphate compound, (NH₄)_{0.5}Fe^{II}_{0.5}Fe^{III}_{0.5}(H₂O)₂BP₂O₈ $\cdot 0.5 H_2 O(3).$

The title compound was synthesised by useful adjustment of starting materials and experimental procedures. The molar FeCl₂·4H₂O:H₃BO₃:H₃PO₄:NH₂CSNH₂:-H₂O ratio: 0.5:3:1:1:11 in hydrothermal conditions at 110 °C gave the product in 49% yield based on Fe. The atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, as well as the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. The asymmetric unit is shown in Fig. 1. Both Fe and B atoms are located on the crystallographic 2-fold axis that is aligned along the unit cell c axis and are octahedrally and tetrahedrally coordinated to adjacent oxygen atoms. The tetrahedral phosphorus atom is bonded to the Fe atom and the B atom via oxygen-atom bridges. Oxygen atom O(3) is coordinated to the iron and because of the 2-fold symmetry of the iron atom, each iron actually bonds to two O(3) atoms, making the iron octahedrally coordinated.

Table 1 Atomic coordinates (×104) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (×Å² 10³)

Atom	X	Y	Ζ	$U_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{eq}}$
Fe	4473(1)	5527(1)	833	15(1)
Р	6148(1)	7832(1)	2477(1)	14(1)
В	8490(4)	6979(7)	2500	14(1)
O (1)	4870(3)	6253(3)	2085(2)	22(1)
O (2)	6818(3)	6144(4)	480(2)	22(1)
O (3)	5170(4)	8047(4)	507(2)	32(1)
O (4)	7879(3)	8102(3)	2312(2)	16(1)
O (5)	5863(3)	7646(3)	3454(1)	14(1)
O (6)	8390(30)	9193(13)	-833	293(17)
N	7440(70)	8720(30)	-833	81(17)
H(3B)	4730(90)	8280(90)	940(30)	120(30)
H(3A)	4910(80)	8350(70)	40(20)	80(20)
$U_{\rm eq}$ is de	efined as one	third of the tra	ce of the orth	oqonalised

U_{ii} tensor.

[†]This is a Short Paper, there is therefore no corresponding material in

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

Fe–O(1) ^a	2.053(3)	O(1)-Fe-O(3)	87.41(12)
Fe–O(1)	2.053(3)	O(2)-Fe-O(3)	86.18(13)
Fe-O(2)	2.065(3)	O(1)–Fe–O(3) ^a	82.32(11)
Fe–O(2) ^a	2.065(3)	O(2) ^a –Fe–O(3) ^a	86.18(13)
Fe(1)–O(3)	2.191(3)	O(1) ^a –Fe–O(2)	90.48(10)
Fe–O(3) ^a	2.191(3)	O(1)–Fe–O(2) ^a	90.48(10)
P–O(1)	1.504(3)	O(1) ^a –Fe–O(3)	82.32(11)
P–O(2) ^b	1.505(3)	O(1)–P–O(2) ^b	114.36(16)
P–O(4)	1.547(3)	O(1)–P–O(4)	110.67(16)
P–O(5)	1.551(2)	O(2) ^b –P–O(4)	106.61(16)
B–O(4) ^c	1.469(4)	O(1)–P–O(5)	106.53(14)
B(1)–O(4)	1.469(4)	O(2) ^b –P–O(5)	111.38(14)
B(1)–O(5) ^d	1.469(4)	O(4)–P–O(5)	107.10(14)
B–O(5) ^e	1.469(4)	O(4)–B–O(5) ^d	111.97(14)
O(2)–P(1) ^d	1.505(3)	O(4)–B–O(5) ^e	113.83(13)
O(5)–B(1) ^b	1.469(4)	O(6)–N	0.77(4)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: a-y+1, -x+1, -z+1/6; by, -x+y+1, z+1/6; c-x+y+1, y, -z+1/2; ^dx-y+1, x, z-1/6; ^ey, x, -z+2/3.



Fig. 1 ORTEP coordination environment of Fe, P and B atoms in the asymmetric unit

The condensation of PO₄ and BO₄ tetrahedra through common vertices leads to tetrahedral ribbons $\frac{1}{20} \{ [BP_2O_8]^{3-} \}$. which are arranged around 65 screw axes to form a helical polyanion. The helical ribbons are built up from four-ring atoms through alternating linkage of corner-sharing PO₄ with BO_4 groups. Each BO_4 tetrahedron is linked to four PO_4 groups via oxygen atoms. The statistically distributed equimolar Fe^{II}/Fe^{III} ions link two terminal oxygen atoms of the phosphate groups in the borders of the ribbons to form a three-dimensional open-framework structure. The compound

^{*}To receive any correspondence. E-mail: shanyongkui@sina.com

J Chem. Research (M).



Fig. 2 Magnetic susceptibility and inverse magnetic susceptibility curve vs temperature.

is isostructural with the compound $(NH_4)_{0.4}Fe^{II}_{0.55}Fe^{III}_{0.5}$ (H₂O)[BP₂O₈]·0.6H₂O, but the noticeable difference in bond distance caused by iron coordination in the title compound and that reported in the literature is obvious. For example, the shorter P–O distance $d_{av} = 1.526$ Å, compared to P–O d_{av} =1.536 Å affects the geometry of the connecting BO₄ tetrahedra, ideal and deformed in (**3**) and (**2**). Also, the Fe–O(H₂O) distance is obviously shorter d = 2.191 Å than $d_{av} = 2.235$ Å in (**3**) and (**2**).

The formula of the title compound is obtained from the results of thermal analysis, element analysis and bond sum calculation as well as charge balance calculation. The mixed valent system Fe^{II}/Fe^{III} was confirmed by X-ray-photoelectron spectroscopy, and the bond valence sum⁸ ($\Sigma s = 2.24$ for Fe²⁺ and $\Sigma s = 2.4$ for Fe³⁺). Element analysis, IR and thermal analysis revealed 2.69% nitrogen and the presence of nitrogen in the crystal structure of the compound. The ammonium ions and channel water molecules of the statistically disordered distribution are located within the helical ribbon channels. Two positions are only 0.77(4) Å apart from each other. The bulky ammonium ions are shifted to the inner wall of the helical ribbons, balancing charge and stabilising the borophosphate ribbons. The water molecules are positioned inside helical ribbons closer to the inner wall of the helical channels.

Figure 2 shows the magnetic susceptibility and inverse magnetic susceptibility of the title compound plotted as a function of temperature. The $\chi_M T$ value decreases with decreasing temperature, indicating the antiferromagnetic interactions. At higher temperature 110 < T < 300 K, the $\chi_M T$ value remains constant at 3.5 cm³mol⁻¹K, paramagnetic and obeys the Curie-Weiss law: $\chi_M = C/(T - \theta)$, where C = 3.575 cm⁻³ K/mol and $\theta = -3.426$ K. From the relation $C = NB\mu_{eff}/3 k_B^{-9}$ we obtain the effective magnetic moments $\mu_{eff} = 5.3\mu_B$, which is in agreement with the expected value of $5.4\mu_B$ for high spin Fe^{II} and Fe^{III} of weak field octahedral coordination for the same ratio of Fe^{II} and Fe^{III}. Below 110 K, the magnetic properties are dominated by antiferromagnetic interactions.

Experimental

The iron state was analysed by X-ray-photoelectron spectroscopy. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NEXUS-670 FT-IR spectrometer in KBr in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹. Element analysis were obtained with an Elementar vario EL III instrument. DTA/TG studies were carried out at a heating rate of 3 °C/min in air from 25 °C to 800 °C with a TGA/SDTA851° instrument using a sample weight of 22 mg. Magnetic data at low temperature were obtained from 5 to 300 K in a magnetic field of 100 Oe on a Quantum Design MPMS-7 Magnetometer using 50.05 mg of the compound.

Synthesis of the title compound: A mixture of FeCl₂·4H₂O, H₃BO₃, H₃PO₄ (85 wt%), NH₂CSNH₂ and H₂O in molar ratio of 0.5:3:1:1:11 was loaded in a sealed thick wall Pyrex tube, and then kept in an oven at 110 °C for 6 days. Black octahedral crystals were obtained after washing with deionised water. Element analysis N: 2.69%, H: 2.31%; IR (cm⁻¹): 3475, 3272, 1638, 1436, 1170, 1020, 997, 958, 843, 677, 640, 566, 518 and 487 cm⁻¹. The TG curve showed a three step mass loss with a total loss of 18% (cal.17%). The first and second stages, being not obviously distinguished, totally lost 2.5 mol water molecules, and the final stage at 600 °C released approximately 4% NH₃.

Crystal data: $(NH_4)_{0.5}Fe^{II}_{0.5}Fe^{III}_{0.5}(H_2O)_2BP_2O_8 + 0.5H_2O, M =$ 310.4, Hexagonal, space group P6₅22, a = 9.452(2) Å, c = 15.698(5)Å, V = 1214.4(5) Å³, $D_c = 2.549$ g/cm³, Z = 6, $\mu = 2.311$ mm⁻¹, T =298(2) K. 4292 reflections measured, 590 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0289$) which were used in all calculations. The final *R* induces $(I > 2\sigma(I))$: $R_1 = 0.0206$, $wR_2 = 0.0569$; the final R (all data): $R_1 = 0.0211$, $wR_2 = 0.0211$ 0.0571. The data for the crystals (0.20 mm \times 0.15 mm \times 0.15 mm) were collected on a Bruker SMART-CCD diffractometer using a Mo anode ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and graphite monochromator. Intensity data with 2 θ value in the range 4.98–46.48° were collected using ω scans. The structure was determined by direct method, and the nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least squares on F^2 using the SHELXTL program package. Hydrogen atoms of partial water molecules were directly located from a difference Fourier map and included in the structure. Full crystallographic details had been deposited at Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Center (CSD-412989).

We thank National Science Foundation (No.20173017) and State Key Basic Program (No.G2000048) for financial support.

Received 10 March 2003; accepted 7 July 2003 Paper 03/1821

References

- 1 A. Yilmaz, X. Bu and G.D. Stucky, *Chem. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 3243.
- 2 Y.X. Huang, G. Schäfer, W. Carrillo-Cabrera, R. Cardoso, W. Schnelle, J.T. Zhao and R. Kniep, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, 13, 4348.
- 3 R. Kniep and G. Schäfer, Z. Anor. Allg. Chem., 2000, 626, 141.
- 4 C. Hauf and R. Kniep, Z. Naturforsch., 1997, 52b, 1432.
- 5 S.C. Sevov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 2630.
- 6 R. Kniep, H.G. Will, I. Boy and C. Rohr, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 1013.
- 7 G. Schäfer, W. Carrillo-Cabrera, W. Schnelle, H. Borrmann and R. Kniep, Z. Allg. Chem., 2002, 628, 289.
- 8 D. Altermatt and I.D. Brown, Acta Cryst., 1985, B41, 240.
- 9 K.H. Lii and P.F. Shih, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 3028.